

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,257	01/16/2002	Mutsumi Matsumoto	1341.1118	3568
21171	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			GART, MATTHEW S	
*	YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/046,257	MATSUMOTO, MUTSUMI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew s Gart	3625			
TI Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication apeply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (- If the perioder of the pe	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. but for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureceived by the Office later than three months after the mailitent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	January 2005.	•			
2a)🛛 Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the Examinate drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2005 is/ar olicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the correspond or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ A 1.[2.[3.[inowledgment is made of a claim for foreignall b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureathe attached detailed Office action for a lise	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 (s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 3) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 1/10/2005. The Examiner accepts these drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moreno Patent Application Publication US 2002/0035515 A1.

Referring to claim 1. Moreno discloses a method of managing delivery goods comprising:

Receiving identification information for delivery goods entered by a deliverer
 when a recipient of the delivery goods is absent (paragraph 0051 through 0054);

Application/Control Number: 10/046,257

Art Unit: 3625

 Extracting delivery goods information and recipient information relevant to the delivery goods identification information from a storage unit which stores the delivery goods information and the recipient information corresponding to the delivery goods information (paragraph 0052: "After the customer request has been received by the service provider, the request is then processed (Block 304). Depending upon the type of request, and the nature of the goods/services requested, this processing may encompass numerous steps or very few and may require contacting third party vendors, carriers, and others."); and

Page 3

Notifying said recipient of the delivery goods information based on the recipient information (paragraph 0054: "Once delivery/pick-up of the goods is accomplished, a system implementing the process notifies the customer of the delivery."), wherein the delivery goods information includes a message requesting the recipient to confirm as to whether the recipient approves or rejects reception of the goods (Figure 6D, "Refuse to Sign").

Referring to claim 2. Moreno further discloses a method of managing delivery goods comprising:

Receiving information for a request to confirm reception of delivery goods entered by a deliverer when a recipient of the delivery goods is absent (Figure 6C, 6D and 6E), wherein the information includes a message requesting the recipient to confirm as to whether the recipient approves or rejects reception of the goods (Figure 6D, "Refuse to Sign"),

Application/Control Number: 10/046,257

Art Unit: 3625

Extracting recipient information from a storage unit which stores the recipient

information corresponding to the information for the delivery goods reception

Page 4

confirmation request (Figure 6C, 6D and 6E); and

Notifying said recipient of the confirmation request information based on the

recipient information (Figure 6C, 6D and 6E).

Referring to claim 3. Moreno further discloses a method of managing delivery

goods according to claim 1, comprising:

• Further storing information for a deliverer corresponding to the delivery goods

information in said storage unit and receiving the delivery goods identification

information and reception approval/refusal information entered by said recipient

(Figure 6C, 6D and 6E);

Extracting the deliverer information relevant to the delivery goods identification

information from said storage unit; and notifying said deliverer of the reception

approval/refusal information based on the deliverer information (Figure 6C, 6D

and 6E).

Referring to claim 6. Claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth

above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 7. Claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth

above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 8. Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 9. Claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claims 10-12. Claims 10-12 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 13. Moreno discloses a method in a data processing system for managing the delivery of an item to a receiver comprising:

- Determining that delivery attempted to deliver an item to a receiver (paragraph 0095);
- Determining that the deliverer did not deliver the item because the deliverer could
 not get approval from the receiver (paragraph 0095);
- Notifying the receiver of the delivery attempt (paragraph 0095); and
- Determining whether the receiver approves or rejects delivery (paragraph 0095).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Moreno does not disclose, "requesting the recipient to confirm as to whether the recipient approves or rejects reception of the goods."

The Examiner notes, Moreno does disclose a method wherein a customer signsin with the server, via a kiosk or otherwise, and selects the Pick-Up Delivery button 604, the server suitably identifies to the customer whether a signature is or is not required to accept the goods, as shown in FIG. 6C. Information about the carrier and/or the vendor providing the goods is also provided to the customer, in certain embodiments of the present invention. The customer may then appropriately "sign" for the goods. As shown in FIG. 6D, such signature may be accomplished on a signature pad, however, other forms of signatures may also be utilized including, but not limited to, e-signatures. In the embodiment shown in FIG. 6D, the server also presents a representation of the customer's signature 606, which the customer may indicate is complete (via button 608), erase (via button 610), or refuse to sign (via button 612--in which case no signature is presented in field 606. Based upon the requirements of the shipping arrangements specified by the vendor and/or the carrier, a refusal to provide a signature may result in the delivery to the customer being denied and access to the goods in the locker withheld until such signature is provided (paragraph 0095).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew s Gart whose telephone number is 703-305-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM to 5:00PM m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG Patent Examiner March 15, 2005

firey A. Smith